

Progenitors of GRBs originated in the dense star clusters

Yuri N. Efremov

Sternberg Astronomical Institute, 119899 Moscow, RF

The suggestion formulated in the title of this paper arised first to explain the origin of the giant (170 – 300 pc in radii) stellar arcs in the region of the supershell LMC4 in the LMC [4,5,7]. The formation of stellar arcs in the swept up gas shells formed by the central sources of pressure needs some 10^{52} ergs, yet neither multiple SNe in suggested central clusters [6] nor cloud impacts were able to form these arcs. I believe the first suggestion failed to explain why are all the arcs so rare features, all the arcs in the LMC being close to each other, and the second one – why are the ages of arcs different.

Yet another source of the energy input to ISM to produce star-forming HI shells was suggested to be the GRB events [8,15] Along with the only known in the LMC Soft Gamma Repeater SGR 0526-66, within the same region of ~ 1 kpc in diameter there are HI supershell and three or four arc-shaped star complexes. There should be in this region the common source for the progenitors of all these objects! And there is indeed an unique star cluster in the same region: the NGC 1978 cluster, 2 Gyr old and 10^6 suns massive. There are no clusters of similar mass and age in the Milky Way galaxy, and only a handful of these is in the LMC, NGC 1978 being the most massive and the most elongated one.

The binaries of compact objects, the progenitors of GRB, might not be results of two SN outbursts in the primeval binaries of massive stars. Instead of this, the single stellar remnants could form the hard binaries in result of dynamical interactions in dense cluster cores. Many of them might then have been dynamically ejected from the cluster, to merge after escaping in GRB events, and to form the giant arcs not centered on NGC 1978 itself [4,7].

This conclusion was supported by observation that X-ray binaries concentrate near NGC 1978. The recent data for the LMC X-ray sources [19] suggest that in 10×10 degrees square there are nine X-ray binaries, whereas within 0.6×0.6 degrees square including NGC 1978, there are four of these nine stars. It is tempting to explain this with the origin of these four X-ray binaries in NGC 1978, facing the high rate of occurence of X-ray binaries in globular clusters and the high chance for them to be dynamically ejected from the cluster (see refs. in [4,7]).

The recent studies of dynamical evolution of star clusters suggested the high rate of the binary formation and star coalescences and ejections. Portegies Zwart and MacMillan [18] have argued that the BH/BH binaries are formed in (and many of these then ejected from) the massive clusters in a few Gyr after a number of close encounters. The ejected pairs are close enough to merge in a few

Myr and the GRB events should be then rather close to the parent cluster. The objects escaped from NGC 1978 must have had quite reasonable spans of time and velocities to merge in the centers of the present day stellar arcs [5].

The possibility of the formation of compact binaries – progenitors of GRB in stellar encounters within the globular clusters was suggested also by authors [14], whereas authors [2] considered the generation of GRB due to the stellar encounters in dense stellar clusters of evolved galactic nuclei. Other arguments for the origin of GRBs in star clusters were given by Kulkarni (this conference).

Bloom et al. [1] argued that the GRB afterglows observed mostly in the regions of star formation (SFR) and therefore the GRB events are connected with Hypernovae. Even so, the progenitors of the latter are suggested to be the very massive fast rotating stars, and the most plausible channel of their formation is the coalescences of massive stars inside dense young clusters. There are indeed observational evidences that hypernovae from these stars did occur inside or near such clusters in NGC 6946 [5,11] and M82 galaxies [16].

Anyway, the occurrence of an afterglow near a SFR might just imply that this SFR is the result of the previous GRB event near the region, from the same parent cluster, which might be rather old, like NGC 1978. The afterglow distribution in Z suggests that most GRBs have arisen 8 – 12 Gyrs ago, being a few Gyrs younger than the systems of classical globulars in galaxies like ours. The delay of about 2 Gyrs is just the age of the NGC 1978 cluster. It is even probable that this delay, which is close to the age difference between the globular clusters and the oldest objects of the Galactic disk, implies that the formation of the massive stars in the disk was triggered by the first GRB occurred when the present day globulars were some 2 Gyr old [5].

Contrary to authors [1] conclusions, the host-normalized offset distribution of the GRBs seems to be similar not to distribution of the star formation regions neither to distribution of SNe [21]. In fact, it looks rather like the distribution of the classical (old) globular clusters, with the clear concentration to the center of the composite galaxy. It may be also compatible with the origin of GRB progenitors in the very dense star clusters in galactic nuclei, suggested by authors [2]. Note also, that many GRBs had not the observed afterglows neither the host galaxies and some GRB afterglows were observed near the cores of elliptic-like galaxies.

There is the direct evidence for the occurrence of GRB 980425, identified with SN1998bw, in a cluster [13]; moreover, the authors [13] noted near the SN the arc-shaped feature. This GRB being by far the nearest one (~ 40 Mpc), only there the linear resolution is high enough. Note also that both well observed SGRs in the Galaxy, SGR 1906-20 and SGR 1900+14, are found to be in the dense young clusters [17].

At any rate, assuming the giant stellar arcs were formed by GRB-connected events, it is possible to get some conclusions on the geometry of jets. The shapes and orientations of the LMC arcs suggest they are the partial shells and cannot be results of isotropic bursts in non-uniform ISM [9]. The arcs might be formed by the jets with the corresponding opening angle (60 – 90 degrees), what is

compatible with Usov [22] model of GRB. Otherwise, there might exist the multiprecessing and long-standing narrow jets (Fargion: [12] and this conference). Their working surface might fill up the partial shells, triggering star formation [10]. Note that the long-standing precessing jet of SS433 has been forming the HI bubble during some 10000 years [3]. Otherwise, the jet instability might result in the bow shock with the wide working surface, like it is the case for the star-forming jets from AGN.

Note anyway, that the visible shapes of the triggered star complexes which are intrinsically the partial shells, depend on their orientation to the line of sight, whereas their intrinsic parameters depend on the properties of the target clouds to be swept up and compressed into star-forming ones. Also, there was evidently no gas to form the opposite-side stellar arcs in the LMC, considering their sizes.

References

1. J.S.Bloom J.S., S.R.Kulkarni, and S.G.Djorgovski: astro-ph/0010176 (2000)
2. V.I.Dokuchaev, Yu.N.Eroshenko, and L.M.Ozernoy: *Astroph. J.* **502**, 192 (1998)
3. G.M.Dubner et al.: *Astron. J.* **116**, 1842 (1998)
4. Yu.N.Efremov: *Astron. Lett.* **25**, 74 (1999)
5. Yu.N.Efremov: *Astron. Lett.* **26**, 558 (2000)
6. Yu.N.Efremov, B.G.Elmegreen, *Mon. Not. RAS* **299**, 643 (1998)
7. Yu.N.Efremov and B.G.Elmegreen: 'Spontaneous and Induced Star Formation in the LMC'. In: *New Views of the Magellanic Clouds, IAU Symposium No. 190, July 12-17 1998*, ed. by You-Hua Chu et al. (Sheridan Books, Chelsea 1999), pp. 422-427
8. Yu.N.Efremov, B.G.Elmegreen, and P.W.Hodge: *Astroph. J.* **501**, L163 (1998)
9. Yu.N.Efremov, S.Ehlerova, and J.Palous: *Astron Astroph.* **350**, 457 (1999)
10. Efremov Yu. N. and Fargion D., astro-ph/9912562 (1999)
11. B.G.Elmegreen, Yu.N.Efremov, and S.S.Larsen: *Astroph. J.* **535**, 748 (2000)
12. D.Fargion: *Astron. Astroph. Suppl.* **138**, 507 (1999)
13. J.M.Fynbo et al. astro-ph/0009014
14. B.M.S.Hanson and C.Murali: *Astroph. J.* **505**, L15 (1998)
15. A.Loeb and R.Perna: *Astroph. J.* **503**, L35 (1998)
16. S.Matshushita et al.: astro-ph/0011071 (2000)
17. I.F.Mirabel, Y.Fuchs, and S.Chaty: astro-ph/9912446 (1999)
18. S.Portegies Zwart and S.L.M.McMillan: *Astroph. J.* **528**, L17 (2000)
19. M.Sasaki M., F.Haberl, and W.Pietsch: astro-ph/0002318 (2000)
20. H.C.Spruit: *Astro. Astroph.* **341**, L1 (1999)
21. D.Yu.Tsvetkov D.Yu., S.I.Blinnikov, and N.N.Pavlyuk N.N.: astro-ph/0101362 (2001)
22. V.V.Usov: *Nature*, **357**, 344 (1992)